



Application of Probiotics to Control Foodborne Pathogens from Farm to Fork

Mindy Brashears, Ph.D. Director, International Center for Food Industry Excellence Professor, Department of Animal and Food Sciences



Gram-positive bacteria

- Non-sporeforming cocci, coccobacilli, or rod
- Usually grow anaerobically, but can also grow in the presence of Oxygen





"Friendly Bacteria"

Lactic Acid Bacteria have a long history of application in the food industry

LAB Benefits (non-exhaustive):

Direct antagonism with enteric pathogens

- Production of antimicrobial compounds (organic acids and bacteriocins)
- Competition for nutrients and minerals
- Occupy adhesion sites in the intestinal tract

Improve intestinal barrier function and activate mucosal immunity

The "ART" of Probiotic Technology

- Microbiological Skill is needed but there is an art to combining strains to meet a specific need
- Stanley Gilliland
- Some combinations are synergistic, some are antagonistic to each other
- Strains must be selected and screened for the specific purpose and tested in the lab and in real world settings
- There is ALWAYS a dose-response and product must be used by dose

PRE-HARVEST APPLICATIONS





Selection Criteria for NP51



- Began in 1997
- Sole Purpose: Identify Strains for Cattle Feeding to Inhibit *E. coli* O157:H7
 - 686 pure cultures isolated and screened
 - 52% showed inhibition ability towards *E. coli* 0157:H7
- Several strains inhibitory in manure and rumen fluid
- 4 Strains finally selected for animal studies
 - JFP 66:355



- 5 Animals Challenged with *E. coli* and Fed Direct-Fed Microbials (DFM)
- 4 of the 5 DFM Combinations Reduced Shedding by 80%
 - Controls Shed Pathogens for Entire 60 Days of Study
 - DFM Treatments Animals Shed 3-7 Days
 - 3-5 Log Reduction in Treated Animals that were positive

4 Year Cumulative Summary Reduction of *E. coli* O157 in Beef Feedlot Cattle Using NP 51 (Texas Tech/WTAMU)





Quantitative Reduction of E. *coli* O157 using a newly developed MPN method in Positive Samples after Treatment with NP 51





2012-Salmonella Reduction in Lymph Nodes using a High Dose of NP51 (10⁹/head/day)



Lactobacillus NP51 Summary



- Supplementing Feed with a 10⁹/head/day of *Lactobacillus* NP51 consistently reduces STEC 0157 in the feces and on the hide of cattle
- Reductions in prevalence and concentration are observed
- *Salmonella* in lymph nodes is also reduced in prevalence and concentration
- *Salmonella* not reduced in feces/hide
- No detrimental impact on performance and potentially some improvements



"NEXT GENERATION" PRE-HARVEST APPLICATIONS

LAB Isolation Methodology for Next Generation of Probiotics



A systematic method was used to isolate lactic acid bacteria strains for multi-purpose targeted uses



Reduction of Foodborne pathogens in laboratory media after 24 hours at 37 F



OBJECTIVES

• Determine the pathogen reduction, emergence of antimicrobial resistance patterns of *Enterococcus*, cattle performance, and carcass characteristics of cattle fed diets supplemented with *Lactobacillus salivarious* L28 with and without subtherapeutic antibiotics.

Treatments

- No DFM, no sub-therapeutic antibiotic, and no ionophore (CON)
- Monensin (Rumensin 90; Elanco; Greenfield, IN; 33 g/ton DM basis) Tylosin (Tylan 40; Elanco; 11 g/ton DM basis) (MonTy),
- Monensin and *L. salivarius* L28 (10⁶ CFU hd/d) (MonPro).

Food Safety Data – Fecal Pathogen Presence



Multi-Drug Resistance of Enterococcus Isolates





■ MonTy ■ Control ■ MonPro

Multi-Drug Resistance of Generic E. coli Isolates





■ MonTy ■ Control ■ MonPro



- There were no differences in final BW (P = 0.09) or overall ADG (P = 0.09) across treatments.
- Carcass weight, dressing percent, LM area, and yield grade did not differ (*P* > 0.23) across treatments.
- All treatments graded USDA Choice or better.

Conclusions



- Supplementation with L28 resulted in reduced pathogen presence of *Salmonella* and *E. coli* O157:H7.
- The presence of L28 along with Monensin resulted in antibiotic resistance patterns similar to the isolates from cattle fed no sub-therapeutic supplementation.
- These results also suggest that *L. salivarius* L28 does not have a negative impact on performance and may have similar performance and carcass responses to beef cattle fed sub-therapeutic levels of antibiotics.



FOOD APPLICATIONS

Determination the reduction of food-borne pathogens in ground beef by a LAB cocktail of 51, 3, 7 and 28



- •Lactiguard cocktail (1 x 10^7 cfu/g ground beef):
- NP 51 + L7 + D3 + C28
- •Pathogens (1 x 10^3 cfu/g ground beef):
- Non O157 STECs EC 026 and EC 0111
- *Salmonella* Typhimurium ATCC 14028, *Salmonella* Heidelburg Sheldon 33471
- E. coli O157: H7 A4 966, E. coli O157: H7 A5 528
- •Storage conditions: 4 °C, 5d

Reductions of *Salmonella* in ground beef after storage with lactic acid bacterial strains, NP51, NP3, NP7, and NP 28





Reductions of *E. coli O157:H7* in ground beef after storage with lactic acid bacterial strains, NP51, NP3, NP7, and NP 28





Reductions of *Non-O157 STECs* in ground beef after storage with lactic acid bacterial strains, NP51, NP3, NP7, and NP 28







Other Applications of L28 (Next Generation)

- Dry Dog Kibble
- Stainless Steel
- Chicken Fat



In the past year alone, there have been many recalls of pet food attributed to foodborne illness.

Pets that consume contaminated pet kibble can become colonized by *Salmonella* without exhibiting clinical signs and shed the organism in their feces asymptomatically.

 Making the pet a possible source of contamination to people in the household



Treatment of Pet Kibble to Reduce Salmonella



- Commercially available pet kibble was obtained, inoculated with *Salmonella* and treated with L28 in a chicken fat coating.
- Kibble was bagged and stored at ambient temperature.
- Samples were obtained on hours 0, 24, and 72 to determine pathogen reductions.
- Samples were plated onto XLD with a thin-layer overlay to recover injured cells
- When populations were below detection limits by direct plating, pre-enrichment was conducted to detect survivors.

Pathogen Reduction in Pet Kibble with L28





-2



- *L. monocytogenes* is a foodborne pathogen that has caused many recalls in the last couple of decades.
- *L. monocytogenes* is known to have the ability to attach and form a biofilm on many surfaces, including stainless steel.
- Biofilms are not easily removed by common cleaning and chemical sanitizing methods. Therefore, finding innovative ways to control *L. monocytogenes* biofilm formation, growth and subsequent cross-contamination of finished RTE food products is critical.



- *Purpose:* The purpose of this experiment was to evaluate the ability of L28 and commercially available Lactic Acid Bacteria strain (FS56) to inhibit *L. monocytogenes* (N1-002) on stainless steel coupons.
- LAB applied to stainless steel coupons at 7 logs (application concentration)



Pathogen Reduction on Stainless Steel after 24 hours





Listeria monocytogenes was not detectable by means of direct plating or enrichment recovery methods

Experiment replicated 3 times



- Chicken fat being a rich energy source has many important functions in the canine and feline diet
- It is often used to coat pet food kibble
- However, *Salmonella* is a major pathogen in poultry products and is a frequent vehicle of these bacteria and thus posing a risk to pet food

Chicken Fat Treatments



- Chicken fat was inoculated with 5.0 log cfu/g of *Salmonella*.
- Fat was treated with 7 log cfu/g of L28.
- Fat was held at 37°C.
- Resultant populations were enumerated on XLT with a thin-layer overlay to recover inured cells.
- Populations below the detection limit by direct plating were enriched and subjected to molecular screening to detect survivors.



- After 1 day at room temperature there were statistically significant differences between the control and the treatment samples.
- After 3 days *Salmonella* in the control chicken fat had grown to approximately 7.13 log cfu/ml.
- On day 3 the L28 treatment resulted in a 7.13 log cfu/ml reduction and *Salmonella* was not detectable.

CAUTION!!!!



- NOT ONE PROBIOTIC CAN DO EVERYTHING!!
- "In Plant" studies can be misleading so be sure they are well designed.
- ONE EXAMPLE inhibition in the broth instead of in the product/plant
- Some products do not work!!!

Conclusions



- While probiotics are not a "new technology" in concept, the application of the technology is expanding in novel ways.
- Must select specific strains for specific functions.
- Must improve the technology as we learn more about the industry needs
- Applications must be optimized for specific needs

Acknowledgements



- Co-Investigators
- Kendra Nightingale, Guy Loneragan, Jhones Sarturi, Mark Miller, Todd Brashears, Nathan Hall
- Graduate Students
- David Campos, Erin Castilli, Jorge Franco, Luis Jimenez, Adam Castillo, Tosha Opheim, Andrea English
- Funding Agencies
- Texas Beef Council, Nutrition Physiology, Ranch Road Holdings, International Center for Food Industry Excellence





- Dr. Mindy Brashears, Dr. Todd Brashears, Dr. Kendra Nightingale, and Dr. Loneragan are coowners of NexGen Innovations, producer of L28 (Trade Name – Probicon)
 - Drs. Brashears and Loneragan have received consulting fees from Nutrition Physiology, LLC, producer of NP51 (Trade Name – Bovamine Defend) and NP51, NP3, NP7, and NP 28 (Trade Name Lactiguard).



QUESTIONS